

ASB Standard 149, First Edition  
2020

**Standard for Taphonomic Observations in Support  
of the Postmortem Interval**



## Standard for Taphonomic Observations in Support of the Postmortem Interval

ASB Approved Xxxxx 2020

ANSI Approved Xxxxxx 2020



Academy Standards Board  
410 North 21<sup>st</sup> Street  
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

This document may be downloaded for free at: [www.asbstandardsboard.org](http://www.asbstandardsboard.org)

*This document is provided by the AAFS Standards Board for free. You are permitted to print and download the document and extracts from the document for your own use, provided that:*

- *you do not modify this document or its related graphics in any way;*
- *you do not use any illustrations or any graphics separately from any accompanying text; and,*
- *you include an acknowledgement alongside the copied material noting the AAFS Standards Board as the copyright holder and publisher.*

*You expressly agree not to reproduce, duplicate, copy, sell, resell, or exploit for any commercial purposes, this document or any portion of it. You may create a hyperlink to [www.asbstandardsboard.org](http://www.asbstandardsboard.org) to allow persons to download their individual, free copy of this document. Your hyperlink must not portray AAFS, the AAFS Standards Board, this document, our agents, associates and affiliates in an offensive manner, or be misleading or false. You may not use our trademarks as part of your link without our written agreement for you to do so.*

*The AAFS Standards Board retains the sole right to submit this document to any other forum for any purpose.*

*Certain commercial entities, equipment or materials may be identified in this document to describe a procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendations or endorsement by the AAFS or the AAFS Standards Board, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose.*

*This document is copyrighted © by the AAFS Standards Board, LLC. 2020 All rights are reserved.  
410 North 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904, [www.asbstandardsboard.org](http://www.asbstandardsboard.org).*

## Foreword

Taphonomic observations are an important part of the investigation of unidentified human remains, particularly in regards to the determination of postmortem interval. This document is intended to assist forensic anthropologists with observations related to taphonomy and the postmortem interval.

This document was revised, prepared, and finalized as a standard by the Anthropology Consensus Body of the AAFS Standards Board. The draft of this standard was developed by the Scientific Working Group of Forensic Anthropology (SWGANTH) and updated by the Anthropology Subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science.

The AAFS Standards Board (ASB) is an ANSI-accredited Standards Developing Organization with the purpose of providing accessible, high quality science-based consensus forensic standards. The ASB is a wholly owned subsidiary of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences (AAFS), established in 2015 and accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) in 2016. The ASB consists of Consensus Bodies (CB), which are open to all materially interested and affected individuals, companies, and organizations; a Board of Directors; and Staff.

The following applies to all ASB documents:

the term '**shall**' indicates that a provision is mandatory, and can be audited for compliance

the term '**should**' indicates that a provision is not mandatory, but recommended as good practice.

All hyperlinks and web addresses shown in this document are current as of the publication date of this standard.

**Keywords:** *Forensic Anthropology, Taphonomy, Postmortem Interval, Depositional Environment.*

## Table of Contents

1	Scope.....	.....
2	Normative References .....	.....
3	Terms and Definitions .....	.....
4	Requirements .....	.....
4.1	General.....	.....
4.2	Procedures.....	.....
4.3	Documenting Taphonomic Processes .....	.....
4.4	Postmortem Interval Estimation.....	.....
4.5	Considerations .....	.....
4.6	Reporting .....	.....
	Annex A (informative) Bibliography .....	.....

DRAFT

# Standard for Taphonomic Observations in Support of the Postmortem Interval

## 1 Scope

This standard provides requirements for describing and analyzing the taphonomic effects on human remains and associated evidence that can be observed in the laboratory as well as in the field. Also, it provides requirements for recording and reporting the taphonomic and contextual indicators that contribute to estimating the postmortem interval in sufficient detail to allow for independent interpretation, replication, and verification of conclusions drawn.

## 2 Normative References

There are no normative references. Annex A (Bibliography) contains informative references.

## 3 Terms and Definitions

For purposes of this document, the following definitions apply.

### 3.1

#### **depositional environment**

The combination of physical, chemical, biological and cultural processes associated with a deposit in a particular type of environment that may contain human remains.

### 3.2

#### **postmortem interval PMI**

The time between death and discovery of the decedent or remains; also known as time since death.

### 3.3

#### **taphonomy**

The study of the processes affecting remains after death.

## 4 Requirements

### 4.1 General

The environmental context of the remains shall, when possible, be thoroughly documented, both during recovery and in the laboratory. This documentation shall include the environment of the remains, their context, and postmortem modification to soft and hard tissues. Laboratory processes, such as maceration, cleaning, and reconstruction shall be fully documented.

Skeletal material and soft tissue shall be examined in a systematic manner for the purpose of documenting abnormalities related to the post depositional environment.

### 4.2 Procedures

**4.2.1** The completeness and preservation of the human remains shall be described.

**4.2.2** Taphonomic conditions and anomalies shall be assessed in comparison with standard exemplars when possible. While gross observation may suffice to identify many taphonomic indicators, microscopic examination should be used for identification as necessary.

**4.2.3** The practitioner shall document all observations of taphonomic conditions and anomalies that are likely to have probative value. Documentation shall include a written description and supporting images (e.g., photographs and sketches). Documentation shall be of sufficient detail to allow for independent interpretation, replication, and verification of the work performed and the conclusions drawn.

**4.2.4** Any proffered interpretation of findings shall be supported by the scientific and/or taphonomic literature. Reasonable alternative interpretations shall be documented particularly if supporting literature does not exist.

**4.2.5** Definitive conclusions shall not be drawn if the findings are equivocal.

### **4.3 Documenting Taphonomic Processes**

**4.3.1** Taphonomic changes resulting from abiotic, biotic, and chemical agents; thermal events; anthropogenic effects; and decompositional processes shall be documented when applicable.

**4.3.2** Forensic anthropologists shall not interpret taphonomic events as trauma or use terms such as “trauma” and “injury” to describe taphonomic events or defects.

### **4.4 Postmortem Interval Estimation**

**4.4.1** The complete context, including taphonomic indicators shall be used to describe the condition of a body. Where possible, pertinent decompositional indicators should be recorded, not just a phase or score (e.g., “early decomposition”).

**4.4.2** The method selected to estimate postmortem interval shall most closely match the physical and depositional environments of the case being examined. The observed factors that influence the rates of decomposition shall be described in notes and the report. To that end, the variables that influence decomposition shall be documented and may include ecological factors, physical characteristics or modifications of the remains.

**4.4.3** A PMI estimate shall not be made without consideration of the taphonomic context/ depositional environment. Overly precise or insupportable PMI estimates shall not be made.

### **4.5 Considerations**

A multidisciplinary approach shall be used whenever possible. The analyst should be familiar with other disciplines’ recommended collection and preservation strategies, so that evidence may be submitted to an appropriate analyst at a later date.

### **4.6 Reporting**

The practitioner shall report all observations of taphonomic conditions and anomalies that are likely to have probative value. Documentation shall include a written description and supporting images (e.g., photographs and sketches) and be of sufficient detail to allow for independent interpretation, replication, and verification of the work performed and the conclusions drawn.

Because taphonomic indicators and signatures can be epiphenomenal, inconsistent indicators shall be documented and described. If possible, a degree of certainty based on published research shall be stated when applying observations to reconstruct taphonomic processes and to estimate postmortem interval. Analytical artifacts (such as modifications created during maceration or recovery) shall be documented.

DRAFT

## Annex A (informative)

### Bibliography

The following bibliography is not intended to be an all-inclusive list, review, or endorsement of literature on this topic. The goal of the bibliography is to provide examples of publications addressed in the standard.

- 1] Behrensmeyer, Anna K. "Taphonomic and ecologic information From Bone Weathering." *Paleobiology*, 1978, vol. 4, pp.150-162.
- 2] Connor, M., Baigent, C. and Hansen. E. "Measuring Desiccation Using Qualitative Changes: A Step Toward Regional Decomposition Sequences." *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 2019, vol. 64(4).
- 3] Galloway, A., Birkby W.H., Jones A.M., Henry T.E., Parks, B.O. "Decay rates of human remains in an arid environment." *Journal of Forensic Science*, 1989, vol. 34(3), p.p. 607-616.
- 4] Haglund, W., Sorg, M. (eds). *Advances in Forensic Taphonomy: Method, Theory and Archaeological Perspectives*. CRC Press, New York, 1996.
- 5] Haglund, W., Sorg, M. (eds). *Forensic Taphonomy: The Postmortem Fate of Human Remains*. CRC Press, New York, 2002.
- 6] Megyesi, M.S., Nawrocki, S.P., Haskell, N.H. "Using accumulated degree-days to estimate the postmortem interval from decomposed human remains." *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 2005, vol. 50:1-9.
- 7] Pokines, James and Symes, S.A. (Editors). *Manual of forensic taphonomy*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 2013
- 8] Schotsmans, Eline MJ, Grant, N., Forbes, S.L. (Editors) *Taphonomy of human remains: forensic analysis of the dead and the depositional environment*. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, 2017.
- 9] Sorg M.H. Developing regional taphonomic standards, *Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice Grant Report, 2008-DN-BX-K177*, 2013.

DRAFT



Academy Standards Board  
410 North 21st Street  
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

[www.asbstandardsboard.org](http://www.asbstandardsboard.org)