<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Commenter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Type of Comment (E—Editorial, T—Technical)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Proposed Resolution</th>
<th>Final Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kathy Moore, NOAA Northwest Fisheries</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>add period</td>
<td>Replace comma with period between &quot;documents&quot; and &quot;Annex&quot;.</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kathy Moore, NOAA Northwest Fisheries</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>this is basically a haplotype; term not used in remainder of document</td>
<td>strike</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kathy Moore, NOAA Northwest Fisheries</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>we do not use mtDNA markers as a point of reference for mapping</td>
<td>I know this is in the lexicon, but it is not how we use the term &quot;marker,&quot; which WF people generally mean to be a focus with a specific purpose. Our markers are a) not always mapped, and b) not used for mapping. For this document, can we end the sentence after &quot;genome&quot;?</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Section is incorrect, comment is on what is now 3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Kathy Moore, NOAA Northwest Fisheries</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>definition of species is too restrictive—limits to the Biological Species Concept, and does not allow for others (e.g. Phylogenetic Species Concept, which is often used for ESA or CITES spp.)</td>
<td>use &quot;Level of taxonomic classification between the levels of genus and subspecies.&quot; or the same definition agreed upon by the OSAC Serology TG to use &quot;the fundamental unit of taxonomic classification. There is no singular species definition in biology. Essentially the term denotes a group of organisms with a unique shared evolutionary lineage.&quot;</td>
<td>Accept with modification. Definition revised to read: &quot;the fundamental unit of taxonomic classification. There is no singular species definition in biology. Essentially the term denotes a group of organisms with a unique shared evolutionary lineage.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Kathy Moore, NOAA Northwest Fisheries</td>
<td>4.3.2c</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>too much in sentence</td>
<td>end after &quot;coverage&quot;. Add new bullets if you also want to use of reference materials or mandate taxonomic authorities, but those things aren't necessarily related to species specificity.</td>
<td>Reject with modification to clarify as the things are related to species specificity. &quot;Species closely related to the species of interest, to demonstrate appropriate phylogenetic coverage using reference materials that follow documented taxonomic authorities.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Kathy Moore, NOAA Northwest Fisheries</td>
<td>4.3.6</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>replace hyphens</td>
<td>use an em dash</td>
<td>Accept. Em dash added after &quot;studies&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kimberly Frazier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Voting yes, but would like to change the definition of species</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accept. See comment 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: a specific Proposed Resolution must accompany each comment or it cannot be considered.